staff was just trying to deal with the perversities of what was going on in the way some of the tax definitions were written and where it seems to help certain groups and crush other groups.

Think about this: If you want your electric vehicle, Mr. Speaker—so you are that \$800,000 person and you are getting your electric vehicle—if you want the full tax credit, the car had to be made in a unionized plant.

Mr. Speaker, can you tell me how many minutes are remaining?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Arizona has 7 minutes remaining.

Mr. SCHWEIKERT. Forgive me. I have had a lot of coffee today. I am a nondrinking Catholic, but I believe if you are not walking around with expresso, you are just not trying.

Mr. Speaker, I am dead serious about this. It is more than just the debate you and I are willing to have on raising taxes over here and spending it over there, becoming more egalitarian in a society. This bill is truly designed to redesign society.

So, Mr. Speaker, now you have the forced unionization of all government workers up and down the tree for the majority of States that are right-towork States. Okay. Fine.

Shouldn't you actually have told someone that instead of trying to hide it in the legislation?

We keep coming up with more and more of these little backdoor things.

So, Mr. Speaker, if you have union representation, you are going to get to deduct your union fee. But if I am a nonunion worker, I am in a State that provides either optionality or they don't recognize it in my State, but I do have some group over here who does collective bargaining or negotiations, if I'm a union member I get to deduct my fees. So we are using, once again, spending through the Tax Code to backdoor subsidized unions because unions write huge checks and donate stunning amounts of money to Democrat campaigns. But if, on the other side of the equation, I am not one of those union members, I don't get to de-

Come on. Is this really where the left has gone that every policy, every design, and every little land mine that can be hidden in the language of the few thousand-page bill isn't necessarily to make the working poor less poor and isn't necessarily designed to make our society grow and provide opportunity? It is designed for power.

We saw a number of things in that piece of legislation in the Ways and Means Committee when we started to lay it out. I have to admire the audacity of the left and what they are trying to do right now. I just have to genuflect at one level and say that the gall is so over the top it belongs almost being in a movie if someone actually cared about math.

But the forced unionization, the level of transfer payments, and the level of

basically making the majority of society, people up to-what was our math-\$440,000 a year getting functionally child support payments and child tax credits-direct payments for them, then out the other side of the mouth saying: We are helping the working poor.

No, you are not, Mr. Speaker.

If you and I were helping the working poor, we would have done something dramatically different on the saver's credit and how we do earned income tax credits, those things, and we would actually have an honest conversation that the miracle of growth we had in 2018 and 2019 was one of the most egalitarian and successful in closing income inequality and in closing nutrition stress.

Mr. Speaker, when you saw that nutrition stress shrank to its lowest level and poverty rates shrank to their lowest level—there is a model out there put it under a Democrat label. I don't care.

I know it irks people on the left to say: Well, regulatory and tax reform created a vibrant economy at a time when our demographics are moving against us.

What makes some of those numbers so difficult—and everyone here knows it, and no one will talk about it-one of the greatest headwinds we have as a society is, we are getting old very fast. Our demographics are really, really tough.

The only way we are ever going to make our debt obligations in a couple decades and the only way my 5-yearold is going to be able to live as well as I did, is not functionally turning the economy into a European-style transfer entitlement economy which is what the legislation does.

It has got to be an economic velocity of takeoff, of adopting a disruptive technology, and of providing where investments are in productivity, so everyone is able to be paid more, make the wages, and make their labors much more valuable. We actually know how to do it now.

For my last couple of moments here, I can't count the number of times I will see Democrats get up and spout about supply-side economics and then you talk to them, Mr. Speaker, and they have no idea what supply-side economics is. You are living in it. Mr. Speaker.

When you see the shortages, when you see the ability to have supply chains work, and when you see the cost of everything going up around it, Mr. Speaker, then you have just seen the Democrat version of subsidized Keynesian, put money into consumption but don't build and put incentives into the production, because the production creates the wealth, creates the jobs, creates the productivity, and keeps the prices low. So even if you subsidize me, if I had to pay higher prices, then I didn't live any better.

The fact of the matter is, you are living the ultimate experience right now. Mr. Speaker, and I truly wish the 1970s could have their economics back.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

## ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 11(b) of House Resolution 188, the House stands adjourned until 11 a.m. on Sunday, September 26, 2021

Thereupon (at 2 o'clock and 25 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until Sunday, September 26, 2021, at 11 a.m.

## EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

EC-2220. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Ricky L. Waddell, Jr., United States Army Reserve, and his advancement to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1370(c)(1); Public Law 96-513, Sec. 112 (as amended by Public Law 104-106, Sec. 502(b)); (110 Stat. 293); to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-2221. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a leter on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Robert F. Hedelund, United States Marine Corps, and his advancement to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1370(c)(1): Public Law 96-513, Sec. 112 (as amended by Public Law 104-106, Sec. 502(b)); (110 Stat. 293); to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-2222. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of General Gary L. Thomas, United States Marine Corps, and his advancement to the grade of general on the retired list, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1370(c)(1); Public Law 96-513, Sec. 112 (as amended by Public Law 104-106, Sec. 502(b)); (110 Stat. 293); to the Committee on Armed Services

EC-2223. A letter from the Acting Assistant Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting an additional legislative proposal to an earlier transmittal titled the "National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022"; to the Committee on Armed Services.

## TIME LIMITATION OF REFERRED BILL

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XII, the following action was taken by the Speaker:

H.R. 4374. Referral to the Committee on Energy and Commerce extended for a period ending not later than October 28, 2021.

## PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. BACON: H.R. 5358. A bill to direct the Secretary of Homeland Security to establish an election research program to test the security of election systems, and for other purposes; to the Committee on House Administration, and in addition to the Committee on Homeland Security, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.